

# Minutes

Traffic and Circulation Committee Meeting  
Thursday August 18th at NCSD, 148 South Wilson  
9 to 11 am

Present: John Brantingham, Marianne Buckmeyer, Jerry Bunin, Peggy Cox, Clyde Cruise, Susie Hermreck, Dale Ramey, Gene Score, Vince McCarthy, Jeanne Taylor, Jim Tefft and Dave Watson

## **Presentation of Working Paper 3 of the *South County Traffic Model Update***

Action: Motion made by Marianne Buckmeyer requesting that the NCAC chair write a letter to Public Works indicating what the T&CC perceives as the shortcomings of the above document. Marianne will supply the talking points for the letter. Motion Passed

Traffic and Circulation further requests an NCAC meeting be held on September 12<sup>th</sup> at 6:30 pm at NCSD to discuss road impact fees (see below), Willow Road EIR and informational on re-alignment of Halcyon Road.

Capital Project Costs – Dale Ramey. In the past year the cost of capital projects have increased by 25% from an index point 213.1 in Q2-2004 to 266.8 in Q2-2005. In comparison, 1987 costs were at 100.0. Most of these costs are due to increasing prices of drainage pipe, asphalt, and portland cement. We are starting to compete with other Pacific rim nations for these products and materials.

The results of these increases are driving up project costs and, thus, developer fees.

Action: None

Road Improvement Fees – Dale Ramey. See attached table. In addition to the table information comparisons to similar fees in Modesto, Galt, Paso Robles and Arroyo Grande were presented.

Action: Motion made by Marianne Buckmeyer to increase the impact fees to the indicated level after other groups (CofC, OTNA, etc.) have a chance to discuss the impact of the fees. At this time this motion does not consider approval of the remainder of the plan. Motion approved

El Campo Interchange with Highway 101 – Dale Ramey. This is a heads-up item, probably long term. Project requires moving the current intersection South and replacing it with an interchange. This arrangement is ideal for the adjacent city and would enable more roadside commercial development. Funds would come from Area 2 traffic mitigation fees.

Action: None

El Campo Corridor – Dale Ramey. This is a heads-up item, probably long term. Project entails realigning intersection at Los Berros, realigning approach and intersection at Highway 101 as above increasing lane width and shoulders. This could become a commercial and/or residential corridor. Funds would come from Area 2 traffic mitigation fees.

Action: None

Southland Interchange with Highway 101 – Dale Ramey. This project would improve multiple access for North and South Oakglen. Project includes forming a third traffic area for fee collection, creating a specific plan for the area with major zoning upgrades providing northbound off and on ramps at Southland and Highway 101 and eventually bridging 101. Funds would come from Area 2 traffic mitigation fees. This is probably a long term project.

Action: None

### **Added Items**

Halcyon realignment at Arroyo Grande Creek and Highway 101 – Marianne Buckmeyer. Project ready for next level of approval (rights of way?) from BOS. Project creates 4-way intersection at Halcyon, with Halcyon bridging Arroyo Grande Creek and increasing lane and shoulder widths. There is significant, local agricultural and environmental resistance to the project. Project costs: 2.0 M\$ from State Transportation Improvement Program account and 2.5 M\$ from Area 2 traffic mitigation fees.

Action: Motion made by Marianne Buckmeyer that Area 2 funds not be spent on this project until the NCAC had a chance to review and approve or disapprove the project. Motion approved

Excessive Truck and RV Velocities on Stanton Road – Marianne Buckmeyer. Road widening, paving and shoulder widening have resulted in higher velocities and increased trips as vehicles proceed down hill on Stanton. Traffic safety is, now, questioned and fences and plants adjacent to the roadway are threatened. County proposes that centerline stripping and fog stripping will reduce vehicle velocities and provide traffic calming

Action: None

## South County Fee Update

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
	Land Use	Current Fee/PHT	Proposed Fee/PHT	Land Use	PHT/Unit	Unit	Fee/Unit
<b>1</b>	<b>Area 1</b>						
<b>2</b>	<b>Residential</b>	\$6,835	\$7,911	SF DU	1.00	DU	\$ 7,911
<b>3</b>				MF DU	0.62	DU	\$ 4,905
<b>4</b>	<b>Retail</b>	\$1,058	\$3,127	Hi Gen'l	3.75	KSF	\$11,727
<b>5</b>				Lo-Gen'l	1.09	KSF	\$ 3,409
<b>6</b>				Office (Gen'l)	1.49	KSF	\$ 4,659
<b>7</b>				Office (Med'l)	3.72	KSF	\$11,633
<b>8</b>	<b>Other</b>	\$3,528	\$4,467	Industrial	0.98	KSF	\$ 4,378

	<b>Area 2</b>						
<b>9</b>	<b>Residential</b>	\$6,702	\$7,633	SF DU	1.00	DU	\$ 7,633
<b>10</b>				MF DU	0.62	DU	\$ 4,751
<b>11</b>	<b>Retail</b>	\$1,452	\$3,829	Hi Gen'l	3.75	KSF	\$14,360
<b>12</b>				Lo-Gen'l	1.09	KSF	\$ 4,174
<b>13</b>				Office (Gen'l)	1.49	KSF	\$ 5,706
<b>14</b>				Office (Med'l)	3.72	KSF	\$14,245
<b>15</b>	<b>Other</b>	\$4,839	\$5,471	Industrial	0.98	KSF	\$ 5,361

### Glossary

PHT	Peak Hour Trip
DU	Dwelling Unit
SF	Single Family
MF	Multiple Family
Gen'l	General
Med'l	Medical
KSF	1,000 Square Feet