

SOUTH COUNTY ADVISORY COUNCIL LAND USE COMMITTEE AGENDA 148 South Wilson, Nipomo, CA 93444

Monday, June 17th, 2019 - 9:00 am

Call to Order Pledge of Allegiance

Roll Call -

Kevin Beauchamp LUC Chair – kevin.beauchamp@kw.com

Art Herbon SCAC Chair – afherbon@gmail.com

El-Jay Hansson – hehansson626@gmail.com

Hans Hansson – hehansson626@gmail.com

Richard Wright - zwrights229@aol.com

Tom Ryan - whitneyhiker888@yahoo.com

Barbara Verlangiere – Secretary - blondmare@gmail.com

Additions or Deletions - Correspondence and Comments from the Chair – Approval of June Minutes

Public Comment Period: Limited to three minutes for those items not on the agenda

PROJECT NUMBER & NAME: <u>DRC2019-00049 DALE\_CISNEROS</u>
<u>PROJECT DESCRIPTION</u>: Proposed Minor Use Permit for 3 acres outdoor cultivation, ancillary cannabis nursery, processing, ancillary distribution transport-only to be located at 514 E Tefft St. Nipomo, CA APN(s): 090-051-042

These applications were recently filed with the Planning Department for review and approval. Because the proposals may be of interest or concern to your agency or community group, we are notifying you of the availability of a referral on the projects.

Old Business: None

Next meeting - July 8th 2019 at 9:00 am

Adjourn

Are there significant concerns, problems or impacts in your area of review?

If Yes, please describe the impacts along with any recommendations to reduce the impacts in your response.

If your community has a "vision" statement in the Area Plan - does the community, feel this project helps to achieve that vision? If No, please describe.

What does the community like or dislike about the project or

## proposal?

Is the project compatible with surrounding development, does it fit in well with its surroundings? If No, are there changes in the project that would make it fit in better?

Does the community believe the road(s) that provide access to the site is(are) already overcrowded? Does the community wish to have a trail in this location?

If the proposal is a General Plan Amendment, does the community feel the proposed change would encourage other surrounding properties to intensify, or establish intense uses that would not otherwise occur?